

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/085,977 | 02/28/2002 | John J. Loy | 4020 P 005 | 9041 | |
| 21967 | 7590 06/16/2006 | 06/16/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP | | | POINVIL, FRANTZY | | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 1900 K STREET, N.W. | | | ARTONII | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SUITE 1200 | | | 3628 | | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109 | | | DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/085,977 | LOY, JOHN J. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Frantzy Poinvil | 3628 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ma | arch 2006. | | | | | |
| · _ · | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| ·— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18,20-24,26 and 27</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18,20-24,26 and 27</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | or the certified copies not receive | a. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 4) [] <u> </u> | (DTO 412) | | | | |
| 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/8/06 and 11/6/05. | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 3/8/2006 and 11/16/2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98 because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance of the listed references. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18, 19-24 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tarter et al (US Patent No. 5,550,734).

As per claims 1-18, 19-24 and 26-27, Tarter et al et al disclose a computerized healthcare accounts receivable purchasing collections, securization and management system and method. The method and system comprise receiving data comprising an invoice with payable and receivable information from a first participant involved in a transaction and storing the information in a receivable clearinghouse and generating electronic invoice information in response to the invoice received from the first participant. The claimed participant being a healthcare provider and the claimed

Page 3

receivable clearinghouse being the "Pharmacy Fund, Inc." (PFI) of the system of Tarter et al. Applicant is directed to column 10, lines 25-38 of Tarter et al.

Tarter et al further teach transmitting the electronic invoice information on behalf of the first participant to a second participant or payor or obligor of the system of Tarter et al, the electronic invoice including one or more payment terns wherein one term requires payment of the receivable be sent to the receivable clearinghouse (column 10, lines 25-62, column 15, lines 13 of Tarter et al.); receiving payment form the second participant t (see also column 10, lines 25-62, column 15, lines 13 of Tarter et al.); recording a receipt of the payment in the receivable clearinghouse and crediting the receipt of the payment to the first participant. See column 15, lines 1-13 and column 14, lines 21-42 of Tarter et al. Since the PFI obtains the receivables, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the receivables were offered for sale to third parties over a receivable marketplace associated with the receivable clearinghouse or PFI wherein the receivable marketplace being accessed by a receivable trading apparatus.

In any event, Field discloses a system and method for facilitating the selling and purchasing of a receivable. See the abstract of Field.

Field also teaches receiving a confirmation offer from a first participant comprising an agreement on an amount and a date, and forwarding the confirmation offer to the second participant. Field further provides a contract between a buyer and a seller. See figures 3, 4 and 7 of Field. Field then teaches providing means or steps for facilitating negotiations between first and second participants and coordinating fund settlements between the first and second participants. It would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Field into Tarter et al in order to allow a first and second participant to negotiate terms of an offer. The motivation would have been to provide a real world environment where terms of a contract or offer are negotiated. In the system of Tarter et al, the types of participants include a receivable owner sponsor and a receivable debtor sponsor. Field discloses respective banks and other participants may act on behalf of the respective receivable owner or receivable debtor for performing similar claimed functions.

The PFI in the system of Tarter resolve transactions on behalf of the receivable owner or receivable debtor. See column 32, lines 54-67 and column 43 lines 30-65 of Tarter et al. Both Tarter et al and Field et al disclose a management system for managing and reporting compiled data received from the participants.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantzy Poinvil whose telephone number is (571) 272-6797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sam Sough can be reached at (571) 272-6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,977 Page 5

Art Unit: 3628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Frantzy Poinvil
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3628

FP May 27, 2006